WGIN 3 Project kick-off meeting



Kim Hammond-Kosack

Rothamsted Research



4th March 2015 RRes

Background

WGIN 2 extension from Dec 2013 to August 2014 ~ £106,000

Besides the science and networking, three key activities were completed in 2014

1. Submitted to Defra in June 2014 a WGIN Legacy document covering the entire 10 year project

2. Submitted to Defra in November 2014 the final report on the entire WGIN 2 project

3. Submitted to Defra in December 2014 the new two year WGIN 3 project

WGIN phase 3 (WGIN3)

New project title

Defra Wheat Genetic Improvement Network - Improving the resilience of the wheat crop through genetics and targeted traits analysis

Wheat Genetic Improvement Network (WGIN3) 2014-2016

Red text new to WGIN3

WP1 Management meetings – The Network

WP3 Tools and Resources

Maintain and further develop, mapping popⁿ, Watkins/Gediflux, *T. monococum* collections (3.1)

Create an A x C NIL TILLING popⁿ (3.2)

T. monococcum introgression (3.3)

WPs 2 & 4 Genetic and QTL analyses

For each of the targeted traits Gene-specific marker development (2.4)

WPs 2, 3 & 4 Targeted Traits

Aphid resistance (2.2)

Take-all resistance (2.2, 3.4)

Septoria and yellow rust resistance (2.2)

Yield and quality resilience (2.2, 3.4)

Yield components (2.2)

Drought tolerance (2.2, 3.4)

Root system function (3.4)

Sub-contractors – WP1.3 & 4.2 NGS genome / exome analyses

WP1 Enhancing the network and communication of results

Website (1.2) Annual Stakeholders forum (1.1) International collaborations (1.4) Publications + data deposits (1.4) Electronic Newsletter (1.4) Focussed workshops (1.1) Public outreach Industry-led forum (1.5)

WGIN 3 project partners

Total - £669, 938

John Innes Centre – Simon Griffiths £252,069

Rothamsted Research - Kim Hammond-Kosack

£417,069

Two sub- contractors £98,821

Bristol Genomics Facility Univ. Bristol, UK

MYcroarray Michigan, USA

Genotyping

Allelic variation via Exome Capture

Duration - 2 years

Twenty one project milestones

1	(March 15)	First stakeholder meeting
2	(February 15)	Development of new near isogenic lines.
3	(throughout project)	Further maintenance and distribution of Avalon x Cadenza doubled haploid population.
4	(Feb 15)	Genetic characterisation of Paragon mutants.
5	(March 15)	Identification of useful genetic variation in Watkins population.
6	(Apr 15)	Development of new mapping populations.
7	(June 15)	First Interim written report to Defra
8	(Sept 15)	Resistance to cereal aphids, information to establish the likely genetic basis of resistance to cereal aphid (Sept 15)
9	(Aug 15)	Development of new QTL for yield at low and high N input
10	(Sept 15)	Information on stability of yield and nitrogen use efficiency parameters for elite varieties
11	(Oct 15)	Collection of data on variation in canopy longevity and nitrogen remobilisation
12	(Dec 15)	Evaluation of lines with good bread-making properties
13	(Dec 15)	Second stakeholder meeting
14	(Jan 16)	Second Interim written report to Defra/Project evaluation
15	(Feb 16)	Improvement of water use efficiency and drought tolerance traits
16	(Feb 16)	Take-all disease, genetic basis, introgression of lines harbouring resistance
17	(March 16)	Introgression of extreme resistance to Septoria tritici from T. monococcum
18	(Apr 16)	Information on germplasm with new important traits.
19	(Summer 16)	Grain Archiving: from each plot of the annual diversity and Avalon x Cadenza field
20 and 21	(Dec 16)	Third Stakeholder meeting and 21. Report (Interim or final)

Plus a detailed Gantt chart covering all activities linked to these milestone

WGIN phase 3

Improving the resilience of the wheat crop through genetics and targeted traits analysis

THE WGIN3 TEAM





Genetic Resource Development for UK wheat yield stability

Why has WGIN targeted yield stability?



AMMI plot 2 for Weebill x Bacanora in multiple locations, for stable yield PC1=0

- Wheat growing conditions are subject to escalating climate volatility
- Acceptably high yield levels, with consistency between locations and years is an increasingly important target.
- It is easy to be stable and low!
- What traits deliver high mean yield?

Dissection of genetic gain in UK winter wheat

crosses What genes control these traits? Spark x Rialto • Malacca x Charger How do alleles Avalon x Cadenza • Savannah x Renesansa Buster x Charger • Lynx x Cadenza work in combination for genetic gain and Charger x Badger • Beaver x Soissons Savannah x Rialto Weebil x Bacanora • trait stability? Shango x Shamrock • Milan x Catbird QTLs 312 3 Maps/Meta-analysis = 10cM

Isogenics

Can we understand the basis of QTL x environment interaction?

Experiment 2: Understanding genotype x environment interaction in Avalon x Cadenza (WP2.3)



- In this example a grain yield QTL was expressed very strongly at Church Farm in 2006, but not really since!
- What was different?

We will analyse climatic data to look for some QTL x E clues.



- Norwich climatic data, together with developmental stage of trial.
- Analysis of this data for AxC DH and NIL trials

GRAIN YIELD

Year: 2013 and 2014 Background: Avalon and Cadenza Chromosome: 1B, 1D, 2A, 2D, 3A, 3B, 5A, 6A, 7B and 7D Allele: Avalon and Cadenza

		Chromosome										
Background	Allele	1B	1D	2A	2D	3A	3B	5A	6A	6B	7B	7D
Avalon	а	3	8	9	37	14	4	8	22	25	4	4
Avalon	b	7	5	2	37	12	6	8	8	19	2	6
Cadapta	а	8	19	8	27	29	13	-	26	15	-	-
Cauenza	b	9	22	5	33	22	17	-	22	27	-	-

(nº genotype)

GRAIN YIELD

Source of variation	df	Wald-test	p-value
Year (Y)	1	20768.25	<0.001
Background (B)	1	236.54	< 0.001
Chromosome (C)	7	51.88	< 0.001
Allele (A)	1	37.68	< 0.001
Y.B	1	267.16	<0.001
Y.C	7	15.4	0.033
B.C	7	68.32	<0.001
Y.A	1	15.93	<0.001
B.A	1	6.89	0.009
C.A	7	64.5	<0.001
Y.B.C	7	10.22	0.180
Y.B.A	1	2.18	0.140
Y.C.A	7	23.02	0.002
B.C.A	7	13.91	0.055
Y.B.C.A	7	13.27	0.068

DTEM

2013

Background	Chromosome	Avalon allele	Cadenza allele		
	1B	44.17	45.10		
	1D	45.11	43.21		
	2 A	45.23	44.16		
Avalon	2D	44.17	43.66		
Avalon	3A	43.96	45.69		
	3B	44.77	45.25		
	6A	44.76	45.36		
	6B	44.61	45.47		
	1B	42.28	43.7		
	1D	44.51	42.92		
	2A	43.79	43.22		
Cadonza	2D	43.83	43.06		
Cauenza	3A	42.72	43.96		
	3B	43.49	43.7		
	6A	42.55	43.51		
	6B	42.63	43.11		
Average s.e.d		0.40			

Avalon background



Cadenza background



GRAIN YIELD

Background	Chromosome	Avalon allele	Cadenza allele
	1B	5.231	5.252
	1D	5.117	5.102
	2A	4.943	5.034
Avalan	2D	5.053	5.125
AVAIOII	3A	5.143	5.270
	3B	5.143	5.183
	6A	5.210	5.096
	6B	5.195	5.229
	1B	5.375	5.507
	1D	5.468	5.376
	2A	5.466	5.368
Cadapza	2D	5.273	5.487 *
Cadenza	3A	5.098	5.457 *
	3B	5.455	5.420
	6A	5.212	5.276
	6B	5.328	5.436
Average s.e.d		0.137	



Genotypic composition of back/foreground Axiom 817 K analysis of Avalon x Cadenza Near Isogenic Line sub set *Experiment 1*: A chromosome segment substitution library for Avalon x Cadenza (AxC) (WP3.2)



Can we tile the whole genome to make recombinant substitution lines for whole genome?

250 BC₂ NILs in Avalon background 302 in Cadenza



Combining alleles in a uniform genetic background is a powerful way to assess genetic interactions and test a hypothesis for breeding

Experiment 4: Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Paragon NIL library (WP2.3, WP3.2) *Experiment 5:* Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Paragon library (WP3.2)



Combining alleles in a uniform genetic background is a powerful way to assess genetic interactions and test a hypothesis for breeding

Experiment 4: Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Paragon NIL library (WP2.3, WP3.2) *Experiment 5:* Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Paragon library (WP3.2)



Combining alleles in a uniform genetic background is a powerful way to assess genetic interactions and test a hypothesis for breeding

Experiment 4: Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Paragon NIL library (WP2.3, WP3.2) *Experiment 5:* Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Paragon library (WP3.2)



The UK reference population Avalon×Cadenza as a platform for simulated breeding strategies for grain yield in elite Western European bread wheat

Experiment 4: Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability (WP3.2)

- Based on the work described, can we develop tools for breeding stability by design
- 'Best' simulated genotypes will by crossing closest DHs and then MAS



Is improved drought tolerance one route to increased stability for UK wheat?

Experiment 3: Dissecting UK drought tolerance in Paragon x Garcia (WP2.3, WP4.3, Milestone 19)

- Paragon x Garcia, a RIL population of 351 lines was developed by WGIN
- Designed for UK drought study
- Genetic map developed in CiRC
- Will be grown +/- irrigation at Church Farm
- Measured traits will be height, heading, yield, and components

Does this analysis raise some new questions for the genetic dissection of certain traits for stability?

Experiment 3: Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK (WP2.1)

	RL Group1	RL Group2	RL Group3	RL Group4
RL Group1				
RL Group2	Х			
RL Group3	Х	Х		
RL Group4	X	X	X	

Production of all possible F1s (or provision of them) provides all options for the production of a new 'stability population'.

WGIN team at JIC

- Clare Lister
- Alba Farre Martinez

Rothamsted Research where knowledge grows

WGIN 3

Malcolm J. Hawkesford

1st Management Meeting 25th February 2015



Wheat Genetic Improvement Network



3/7/14







Stability/resilience



- Add to data since 2004 (13 year total)
- Some variety adjustments
- Analysis referencing meteorology
- Include long term data (Broadbalk)



Post harvest mineral uptake



Genotypic variation in the uptake, partitioning and remobilisation of nitrogen during grain-filling in wheat $\!\!\!^{\star}$

Peter B. Barraclough*, Rafael Lopez-Bellido¹, Malcolm J. Hawkesford

Plant Biology and Crop Science Department, Rothamsted Research, West Common, Harpenden, Hertfordshire AL5 2JQ, UK



ROTHAMSTED

Interactions between nutrients

- Diversity/N panel
- ICP AES for P, S, K, Ca, Mg, Fe and Zn
- 2015 and 2016, anthesis and final
- Partitioning

3 past years







Canopy longevity

- Diversity/N-panel
- Some existing data (2014)
- 2015 and 2016











Data analysis – height

ROTHAMSTED

Estimating height from DEMs (Digital Elevation Models)

- 10 Ground Control Points
- 40m flight altitude
- Imaged every second
- Only 10 plots estimated









Holman & Wooster, KCL, 2014

WGIN 3






3/7/14













WGIN 3

Rothamsted Research where knowledge grows



Screening germplasm for resilience to aphids (WP2.3)

Lesley Smart





Part of Phenotyping theme

Screen wheat lines for evidence of resistance to the main cereal aphid pests in the UK – the bird cherry-oat aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* and the grain aphid *Sitobion avenae*.

- Initial study included
 Hexaploids from the Gediflux and Watkins collections
- And more recently

Diploid wheat species including lines of *Aegilops speltoides* (from JIC), *Ae. tauschii* (from NIAB) and *Triticum monococcum* (from RRes WGIN).

Synthetic wheat lines (from NIAB)



The Target Pests



Rhopalosiphum padi



Sitobion avenae



Phenotyping Screen









Phenotyping Screen

18 lines/week plus a duplicated control (Solstice) Alates caged on first leaf of seedlings 7 days after sowing. *R. padi* left for 24h, *S. avenae* left for 48h.

Alates then removed, nymphs counted and re-caged for 6-7 days.

Nymphs recounted and weighed in their batches.

Statistical analyses of data to compare nymph number, survival and weight to the control.

Assay provides

- an assessment of antixenotic effects on alate settlement and commitment to nymph production
- an assessment of antibiotic effects on nymph development
- An illustration of the difference in response of the two aphid species to different lines



Nymph number







Nymph weight

0.5







ROTHAMSTED

Rhopalosiphum padi mean nymph weight after 6 days

Nymphs on *Triticum monococcum* lines

S. avenae R. padi





Nymph weight on *Triticum monococcum* lines





Fecundity assays – Intrinsic rate of increase (r_m) $r_m = (\ln(FD)/D) \times C (0.74)$ (Wyatt and White, 1977)

Rhopalosiphum padi – no nymphs on MDR045





Cumulative nymph production

Day 1 Day 2 Day 3 Day 4 Day 5 Day 6 Day 7 Day 8 Day 9



FD (nymphs produced





Electrical Penetration Graph for aphid feeding behaviour



RESEARCH

Feeding behaviour *R. padi* recorded by EPG



















Work in WGIN 3

Triticum monococcum crosses already made by Mike Hammond-Kosack

MDR037 x MDR045, MDR049 and MDR657

Test F1 generations of these crosses in phenotyping screen for both aphid species

Take lines to F2 and beyond with further assays and backcrosses as necessary



Acknowledgements

The entomology team



Dr Gia Aradottir



Alex Greenslade



Janet Martin



Rothamsted Research where knowledge grows

WGIN 3 Resistance to take-all and foliar diseases

Vanessa McMillan

Kim Hammond-Kosack



BBSRC bioscience for the future ROTHAMSTI RESEARC

WGIN MM 4th March 2015



Objectives:

1. Complete development of *Triticum monococcum* mapping populations for genetic analysis of resistance to take-all

2. Continue the introgression of resistance to take-all from *T. monococcum* to the BC1 stage

3. Examine the resistance of *Triticum monococcum* to yellow rust

4. Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases



Take-all disease

Major root disease of wheat



Ascomycete soil-borne fungal pathogen

Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici (Ggt)



Take-all infected wheat seedling



Take-all patch showing stunting and premature ripening of the crop

Limited control options



• 34 T. monococcum accessions tested over 5 years



Resistance to take-all in Triticum monococcum

3rd wheat field trials 2006-2011 (WGIN 1 and 2)





Objective 1: Complete development of *Triticum* **monococcum mapping populations**

F₆ **populations**:



MDR037 (S) x MDR046 (R) – 79 F6 lines (started with ~180 F3 plants) MDR037 x MDR229 – 85 F6 lines

F₂ *Tm* cross progeny numbers:

Parentage	Estimated F ₃ progeny	
		number
MDR031 (R) x MDR043 (vS)	31 ears from 3 plants	450
MDR031 x MDR229	16 ears from 1 plant	320
MDR031 x MDR650	48 ears from 3 plants	900
MDR043 (vS) x MDR031 (R)	48 ears from 3 plants	960
MDR043 (vS) x MDR046 (R)	36 ears from 3 plants	750
MDR229 x MDR031	94 ears from 6 plants	2000

Now at F_4 , taking forward to F_6





Objective 2: Introgression of resistance to takeall from *T. monococcum* **to the BC1 stage (MH-K)**



5 lines selected for crossing

Line (datasets) MDR031 (3 field years of data) MDR046 (4 field years of data) MDR232 (3 field years of data) MDR286 (4 field years of data) MDR229 (4 field years of data)

Variety

monococcum; macedonicum atriaristatum; macedonicum nigricultum 84TK154-034 3962

OriginTypeTurkeySpringRomaniaSpringYugoslaviaWinterTurkeyWinterSpainSpring



Objective 2: Introgression of resistance to takeall from *T. monococcum* **to the BC1 stage (MH-K)**



Introgression method

First cross *Tm* to Paragon *ph-1* mutant (Paragon as female parent)

Backcross the F₁ plants into Paragon (wild-type)



Objective 2: Introgression of resistance to takeall from *T. monococcum* **to the BC1 stage (MH-K)**



Tm x *Ta* Paragon *ph-1* mutant - Crossing outcome

MDR	TOTAL F ₁ grain	F₁ grain set per ear	Total number of crossed ears
031	79	5, 11, 8, 6, 3, 3, 18, 14, 11	9
046	36*	3, 11, 4, 18	4
229	45	8, <mark>0, 0, 0</mark> , 13, 14, 10	7
232	49	4, 7, 3, 16, 13, 6	6
286	81	4, <mark>0</mark> , 8, 18, 16, 10, 5, 6, 11, 3	10
Totals	290		36

* crossing stopped after 4 ears



F1 ear images



Ta (ph-1) x Tm



Ta (ph-1) x Ta (ph-1)



Control









Method for generating the F₁ plants : University of Nottingham (Julie King)

- Surface sterilise the F₁ grain, then germinate *in vitro*
- After 72hr when no germination is evident then embryo rescue and culture *in vitro*



F_1 Ta x Tm

Anthers Anther extrusion Anthers opening But no viable pollen



6 months from embryo rescue to anthesis

F₁ Tax Tm Paragon

Objective 3: Examine the resistance of *Triticum monococcum* **to yellow rust**



Background: *T. monococcum* grown at RRes since 2004, but never any obvious yellow rust infections

- Total *T. monococcum* collection (263 accessions) sown in field trial autumn 2014 (one replicate per accession)
- Spreader rows of the highly susceptible hexaploid cultivar Robigus sown in between *T. monococcum* plots
- 3 yellow rust isolates obtained from NIAB Solstice isolate 08/21, KWS Sterling isolate 11/140 and Warrior isolate 11/08
- Yellow rust inoculated seedlings to be planted out in mid-March
- Entire *T. monococcum* collection to be genotyped by University of Bristol association analysis approach



Objective 4: Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases

Background

- WGIN 2: 3rd wheat, Take-all field experiment in 2008 Watkins collection (740 lines) Richard Gutteridge
- Single replicate of each Watkins line
- 5 blocks of 8 controls (Oats, Triticale, Rye, and 5 currently grown wheat varieties including Hereward)
- No fungicides
- Trial assessed for yellow rust, brown rust, septoria and powdery mildew infection and plant samples taken for take-all assessments on the root systems





Watkins field trial – June 2008 WGIN 2

and a state of the state of the

A H-HALL

The Hilling

A BEAN










Yellow Rust on flag leaf – percentage area of leaf affected



Brown Rust Infection score



Septoria Infection score



Mildew Score



Objective 4: Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases



Background

- Watkins 2008 field trial 10 Watkins accessions with a high degree of resistance to all 4 foliar pathogens
- Also a high take-all disease year with root infection early in the season
- Was the foliar disease resistance an induced plant response?



Objective 4: Characterise hexaploid wheat germplasm previously shown to exhibit a high level of resistance to multiple foliar diseases

WGIN 3 experiments

- 10 Watkins lines + controls sown in both 1st wheat (no take-all) and 3rd wheat (high take-all) field trials in autumn 2014 (1 or 2 replicates per line in each trial)
- No fungicides will be applied to allow natural disease to develop
- Score for foliar diseases + take-all
- F₁ crossing between the Watkins accessions and Paragon/Bobwhite will be carried out to develop mapping populations





RRes Farm staff

Mike Hammond-Kosack Richard Gutteridge Kim Hammond-Kosack Gail Canning Lucy Nevard

Rodger White (Stats)

Sarah Holdgate (NIAB)

Simon Orford (JIC)



Wheat Genetic Improvement Network







Kostya Kanyuka

Rothamsted Research

WGIN3 Meeting, 4th March 2015









no necroses or pycnidia !

Field assessment of 30 T. monococcum lines over 4 years



Jing et al. (2007) J Exp Bot

Diploid wheat Triticum monococcum





BBSRC

bioscience for the future

Responses of 120 *T. monococcum* genotypes to nine diverse *Zymoseptoria tritici* isolates





<u>solate</u>	<u>Origin</u>
PO87019	Uruguay
PO88004	Ethiopia
PO89011	Netherlands
PO94269	Netherlands
PO92006	Portugal
PO001	Netherlands
PO90012	Mexico
PO323***	Netherlands
PO95052	Durum wheat

***Sequenced



Resistance to Zymoseptoria tritici isolate IPO323

ROTHAMSTED





22

Resistance to Zymoseptoria tritici isolate IPO323





DV92 (R)



MDR2 (S)

Genetics of resistance to Z. tritici IPO323 in Triticum

monococcum



Ŷ	ð	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃
DV92 (R)	MDR2 (S)	18	400	94*

* 94 F₃ families were screened for segregation of resistance / susceptibility to Zt IPO323





Resistance to *Z. tritici* IPO323 in *T. monococcum* DV92 appears to be monogenically inherited







Refined and higher resolution genetic map around TmStb1

$1A^{m}$	$2A^{m}$	3A ^m	4A ^m	5A ^m	6	5A [™]	7 A ^m	ROTHAMSTE
wPt-16850.00 4694479.60	wPt-4350 0.00 wPt-60537 0.00 wPt-6245 0.10 wPt-1601 14.40 wPt-7524 26.10 wPt-7524 26.50	470457	469475	469826 469360 Xbarc180 469427 Xcfa2234 Xgwrd15 Xgwrd68	00 469665 90 50 90 10 10	0.00	376730 Xda2049 WP-1579 469650 Xwmc283 469702 2,20 2,20 2,20 2,20 2,20 2,20 2,20 2	RESEARC
Xbarc21325.00 47023928.50 wPt-069037.70 wPt-600941.70 470184'46.20 49941'47.50 47006947.50 47.006954.30	470244, 469834, 28.10 Xymm296, 30.50 469571, 35.60 470028, 36.00 Xmm2177, 44.40 WPt-0015, 44.80 WPt-0015, 44.80 WPt-0015, 46.80 469895, 46.80 469780, 52.50 Xmm33, 56.00 WPt-2120, 58.10 WPt-2110, 60.20 WPt-1920, 60.20 WPt-1920, 60.20 WPt-1920, 60.20 WPt-308, 62.00	469283 - 21.20 470334 - 23.20 Xwmol 65 409509 - 23.20 Xwmol 65 470334 - 35.40 469383 - 37.40 Xca2134 - 41.70 Xwmol 1 - 46.80 Xwmol 64.80 Xwmol 64.90 Xbarc57 - 20 Xwmol - 72.20	wPt-0207 28.60 wPt-4328 28.70 Xgwm260 40.00 37 5934 45.80 4657 01 448.30 wPt-1544 48.90 wPt-5633 49.90 Xwmc680 52.10	X wmrc805/ X wmrc705/ 4 69779/ 4 49779/ 4 49779/ 4 497389/ 9 wP-3059/ 2 2 X wmrc955/ 2 2 X wmrc955/ 2 2 X wmrc955/ 2 2 X wmrc845/ 3 8 WP-1775/ 4 69811/ 4 69815/ 4 6981/ 4 6981/ 4 6945/ 4 6945/ 6 695/ 6 765/ 6 765/ 6 765/ 7 767/ 7 767/ 7 767/ 7 767/ 7 767/ 7 767/ 7 77/ 7	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	29.20 29.30 -34.60 -37.40 -39.40 -45.50 46.10 50.60 51.20 53.50	Xbarci 74 33.60 wP-3107 38.10 Xwmc17 43.90 Xbarci 08 50.40 Xwmc596 50.40 Xwmc596 50.40 Xwmc596 50.40 Xwmc596 50.40 Xwmc596 50.40 Xwmc596 53.90 376918 63.90 376988 62.20 Xwmc484 62.00 Xbarci 72 63.90 469845 64.40 4698607 65.00 4698637 65.00 498637 65.00 498637 65.00	TmStb1
wPt-2584 60.60 Ba 80.10 Xgwmd33 83.80 wPt-371 84.60 wPt-377 84.60 wPt-377 84.70 wPt-377 85.70 wPt-397 85.10 wPt-0595 85.10 xda2153 86.80 xda2153 88.60 xda2153 89.60 wPt-1985 99.60 wPt-2150 91.40 x0036 92.20 Xwmc336 100.10 x47036 100.10	Xgwm122 Xwm226 460588 470029 Xgwm275 Xgwm275 Xgwm240 Xgwm240 Xgwm240 75.60 Xwm279 460773 460773 460773 460773 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 470779 91.70 91.70 470779 91.70 470 470799 90 90 90 90 900	wP+2379 \$820 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$620 wP+2379 \$6150 wP+2379 \$6150 wP+2379 \$6150 wP+2379 \$71.30 wP+7000 \$83.80 Xbarc69 \$240 Xcfa2193 \$105.00 wP+9018 \$105.00 wP+9048 \$102.00	wPt-8897— — 65.90 Xwmct 61— — 81.90 Xgwm11 9— — 92.30 47 0258— — 95.20 wPt-6475— — 98.20	470219 X wrrc404 470096 469513 wr?-2707 wr?-519 wr?-519 wr?-519 wr?-5207 wr?-519 wr?-519 469631 wr?-8020 469820 469820 x dag 469952 469952 469952 469952 469952 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	4.40 470661 wPr.7083 wPr.9083 1.50 470275 1.10 wPr.9331 1.20 470051 1.20 470051 1.20 470051 1.30 wPr.5711 5.30 wPr.5711 5.30 wPr.5711 1.30 wPr.5181 1.00 469416 1.00 469416 1.00 4764518 1.00 wPr.6678 1.00 wPr.6678 1.00 wPr.7657 1.00 wPr.7657 1.00 wPr.7857	60.70 67.80 67.80 67.80 72.90 85.40 91.20 91.20 91.20 91.20 105.00 108.90 108.90 111.20	wP+3964 71.20 wP+3964 71.30 rP+4199 71.50 wP+2418 71.50 Ximmo748 72.50 Xdipw254 74.70 470490 78.80 470490 78.80 470492 81.80 459848 81.90 4698437 81.90 459848 91.90 470248 91.70 459988 91.70 459988 91.70 459968 91.90 46997 91.90 459968 91.70 94.90 91.70 94.90 91.90 wP1-285 91.90	
Xgw m752	wPt-3114 99.00 lpt-8937 99.10 Xgwm135 99.30 Xgwm312 114.40 Xwmc07 115.70 wPt-7015 125.40 wPt-802 127.50 wPt-8857 131.20 wPt-7350 —148.40	WP+2+640/ 110.60 470101 117.00 469552 121.20 469850 131.90 469774 135.40 WP+6400 136.00 WP+8200 138.50 WP+8200 139.50 WP+8165 139.50 WP+8165 139.50 WP+3165 141.40 469816 141.40 4698174 146.80 477340 146.87 WP+0244 145.70	469610 119.40 465947 120.90 Xmmc197 465929 wPp-5387 4659296 4659296 wPp-3773 wPp-3876 12.40 wPp-3876 12.50 469296 469296 469296 469297 0.00	A WIELD 470404 101 4 #70404 101 101 WP-2490 101 101 4 69625 111 469525 111 4 69526 111 469526 111 4 69628 111 469636 111 4 69636 111 469636 111 3 76589 123 4695708 123 4 69959 131 469559 131 4 69959 131 144 470407 144	6.30 wP-F170 15.10 wP-F170 16.30 450974 2.40 wP-5582 2.50 wP-2588 2.70 460337 4.60 450387 11.60 450387 11.80 wP-8026 11.80 37 6532 4.80 Xgwm617 16.20 18.80 11.10 12.50 16.30	111.40 113.60 113.90 122.60 122.60 122.00 125.70 125.70 130.20	mPi-8368 103.50 Xmm5285 107.70 WPi-8368 107.70 Xda2040 107.70 Xda2040 113.90 Xda2040 113.90 Xgwm344 122.10 470210 125.70 376443 125.80 wPi-3551 125.80 wPi-4375 126.00 wPi-4371 126.30 wPi-8371 127.70	
	Xgwmd83 - 166.80 Xgwm526 - 168.30 469359 - 169.70 wPt-1480 Xgwm311 - 172.50 469559 - 179.90 469139 - 181.40 wPt-6682 - 182.20 wPt-08257 - 185.10		470385	470355 wP:-7794 wPi-1095	52.60 33.40 56.10		wP+924E 128.20 wP+5797 129.20 wP+5535 129.20 wP+5535 129.30 wP+5526 129.40 wP+5320 129.70	. 2009. BMC Genomics
	WPt-5850 Xgwm601 WPt-3281 4694.62 470583 202.70		MDR308 Female	MDR002 H Male	e c	d	-	BBSRC bioscience for the futur



Difficulties encountered during phenotyping





Based on testing of >100 plants



Phenotyping of a new *T. monococcum* MDR002 x MDR308 pop for resistance to *Z. tritici* IPO323



Phenotyped 411 F₂ plants (2 leaves per plant)

Selected 214 $\rm F_2$ plants for further study, and taken these to $\rm F_3$

Selected 106 F_3 families for re-screening

Screened 79 F₃ families (12 individuals per family)

30 F_3 s were fully resistant, 25 F_3 s were fully susceptible, and 19 F_3 s segregated for resistance





Acknowledgements



Hai-Chun Jing* Steve Freeman* Carlos Bayon Daniel Jenk Michael Hammond-Kosack Kim Hammond-Kosack











Exome Capture

Kim Hammond-Kosack



Ann Harbor, Michigan, USA

WGIN3 project

The overall goal is to exome capture to identify genetic variation in candidate or known genes that are responsible for the desired trait (s)

Exome capture (WP 4.2, 4.4 and Milestones 18)

A designated group of WGIN scientists will interact with the company MYcroarray to decide on the best way to represent wheat genes on the **20,000 bit array**.

This will be done via a series of Skype meetings held during months 1-3.

A workshop will be held to priorities the gene list and the 96 wheat genotypes to be tested.

A pilot experiment will be done to ensure the DNA is of the correct quality to ensure success.

The full sample set will be sent for the capture using the most appropriate secure carrier.

Custom bait libraries for target sequencing

Mybaits is a fully customisable liquid-phase DNA capture system for targeted sequencing



High percentage of reads on target.

A wheat example from Andy Phillips@RRes

MYcoarray helped design the oligo array for ~1700 wheat genes and made the oligos,

The array "design" was very simple – 120-mers across the whole of each CDS, each overlapping by 60 bp (ie 2-fold coverage). But this naïve design resulted in some variation in capture efficiency.

Used a single set of oligos for each gene, based on a single homoeologue. The ontarget homoeologue represented ~50% of all reads, with the other two homoeologues having ~25% each, on average.

Additional comments

A minimum of 20,000 baits – corresponding to ~1200 coding sequences of average length 1kb.

You will achieve a more comprehensive capture by using genomic sequence not CDS for oligo design (we lost small exons in our captures) so that you can add some flanking intron sequence (and promoter, probably important for surveying natural variation).

Exome capture

Looking for 4-6 individuals interested in taking this WP forward

- select the oligo design method
- select the wheat gene list
- select the 96 wheat genotypes

- WORKSHOP

Interact with the BBSRC funded BBR project which include some exome capture for wheat (Uauy and Philips)